

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9 WESTERN DIVISION
10

11 CARMEL SPITERI,) No. ED CV 11-00822-DSF (VBK)
12)
13 Plaintiff,) ORDER (1) ACCEPTING THE FINDINGS
14) AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED
15 v.) STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE, AND (2)
16) GRANTING DEFENDANTS COUNTY OF
RIVERSIDE COUNTY, et al.,) RIVERSIDE, SHERIFF SNIFF AND
Defendants.) CARREN ROBINSON'S MOTION TO
DISMISS WITH LEAVE TO AMEND AS TO
CERTAIN CAUSES OF ACTION

17 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636, the Court has reviewed the Complaint
18 and all other papers along with the attached Report and Recommendation
19 of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged
20 in de novo review of Plaintiff's "Motion for Reconsideration re:
21 Honourable Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation," Defendants'
22 "Reply to Objections to Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge
23 (Misabeled as Motion for Reconsideration)," Plaintiff's Objection to
24 the Honourable Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation," and
25 Defendants' "Reply to Objections to Report and Recommendation of
26 Magistrate Judge."

27 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Court: (1) accepts the findings
28 and recommendations of the United States Magistrate Judge;(2) grants

1 Defendants City of Riverside, Sheriff Sniff and Carren Robinson's
2 Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Cause of Action without leave to
3 amend and grants Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First,
4 Third, Sixth and Seventh Causes of Action with leave to amend; and (3)
5 Plaintiff is ordered to amend his Complaint to address the above-noted
6 deficiencies and file a First Amended Complaint no later than June 18,
7 2012.



8 5/10/12

9 DATED: _____

DALE S. FISCHER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE